



Appeal No. 25-5592 — Doc. 55: Sealing Objection, Incorrect Service, and Forthcoming Response

From FENTON v. STORY ET AL. <notice@fentonvstory.com>

Date Fri 2026-09-18 18:04

To CA06_Pro_Se_Efiling <CA06_Pro_Se_Efiling@ca6.uscourts.gov>

Cc wildasin@usdoj.gov <wildasin@usdoj.gov>

 2 attachments (6 MB)

25-5592.pdf; 41.pdf;

ATTN: Ms. Antoinette Macon

Hello Ms. Macon,

I'm writing to confirm receipt of the attached "HON. CHARLES WALKER'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO SEAL DOCKET ENTRY 50," docketed in appeal No. 25-5592 on August 31, 2026, as Doc. 55. I received your email providing the motion on September 16, 2026, at 4:05 p.m., but I did not notice the email or review its contents until September 17, 2026. The motion remains locked in PACER, and I received no notice of its filing from opposing counsel. Until you provided me with a copy, I had no access to this filing or its contents.

As I expressed during our telephone conversation on Wednesday afternoon (September 16, 2026), I absolutely object to the overly broad and burdensome scope of this motion and similar prior filings by the U.S. Attorney's Offices in Michigan and Tennessee.

I ask the filing attorney, Mark H. Wildasin, to cooperate with me in preparing a stipulated motion that protects Judge Walker's home address through narrowly targeted redactions without concealing unrelated substantive filings or supporting evidence. Please identify the specific passages requiring protection and explain why precise word- and line-level redactions would be insufficient to protect that information without blocking public access to entire pages or documents.

I am expressly placing Mr. Wildasin and the United States Attorney's Office on notice that I believe their efforts to suppress public access to this evidence constitute active participation in concealing completed federal felony offenses. My lawsuit concerns conduct by Judge Walker and others in bankruptcy case No. 3:19-bk-02693, including alleged bankruptcy fraud under **18 U.S.C. §§ 152 and 157**. Doc. 50, pages 22–23, identifies these issues and supporting records. I believe that this claimed address concern has been leveraged for improper purposes by the defendants and their counsel, as a pretext to conceal critical evidence of felony crimes committed by the defendants. I further believe that their continuing concealment of that evidence constitutes additional criminal conduct.

I request appropriate criminal-enforcement review of these allegations, including whether the subsequent concealment violates **18 U.S.C. § 4 — Misprision of felony**, which provides:

"Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both."

The Sixth Circuit's decision in **Shane Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan**, 825 F.3d 299, 305–06 (6th Cir. 2016), states that “**the seal itself must be narrowly tailored to serve that reason.**” The party seeking secrecy must overcome the strong presumption of public access with particularized justification. The court must make specific findings explaining why the restriction is necessary and no broader than required. I request that Mr. Wildasin withdraw or narrow the request to seal entire filings and cooperate in seeking appropriate redactions.

During our telephone conversation, you explained that Mr. Wildasin was supposed to mail notice of this filing to me. As I told you, I never received it. The Certificate of Service on page 3 states that notice was mailed to my former address:

Jeffrey Ryan Fenton
17195 Silver Parkway #150
Fenton, MI 48430

I updated my address in this appeal on January 2, 2026, in Doc. 41 (see attached 41.pdf). The docket expressly records: “Address has been updated.” My current contact information is:

Jeffrey Ryan Fenton
P.O. Box 400
Linden, MI 48451-0400
Email: notice@fentonvstory.com

I am also providing notice that I will file a formal objection to Doc. 55, together with a motion to extend the response deadline and, to the extent necessary, for leave to file out of time. My response has been delayed because the motion was inaccessible to me in PACER and I did not receive the mailed notice. I first reviewed the motion on September 17, 2026, after noticing the email you had sent the preceding afternoon.

Please confirm whether the Court has ruled on Doc. 55 and provide any resulting order. Please also identify any order governing the current access restrictions on Docs. 50 and 55, together with the legal authority relied upon to impose or maintain those restrictions. Please provide the applicable filing instructions for my response and extension request.

I am copying Mr. Wildasin so that he can correct his service records, use my current address for future mailings, and respond to the concerns and requests stated above. **I also ask Mr. Wildasin to forward this email and its attachments to United States Attorney Braden H. Boucek and First Assistant United States Attorney Robert E. McGuire for supervisory review, and to confirm that he has done so.**

My request for supervisory review also concerns the United States Attorney's bankruptcy-enforcement responsibilities. Under 18 U.S.C. § 158(c) (<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/158>), the designated United States Attorney has primary responsibility for carrying out the duties established by 18 U.S.C. § 3057 (<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/3057>). Section 3057(b) requires inquiry into the facts and a report to the judge following a report under § 3057(a) by a judge, receiver, or trustee. I request that the evidence identified here be referred to the appropriate criminal-enforcement personnel for assessment and investigation, and that any applicable inquiry and reporting obligations be fulfilled and thoroughly documented.

Thank you for your assistance.

Jeff Fenton

notice@fentonvstory.com

(615) 837-1300

P.O. BOX 400
LINDEN, MI 48451-0400

Case Name: Fenton v. Story et al.

3-MIN Intro Video about this Federal Lawsuit: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sZJmZqxKmZ8>

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit | Case #25-5592 | Filed 6/20/2025 | Docket: <https://rico.fentonvstory.com/25-5592/> | [Court Listener](#)

United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee | Case #3:24-cv-01282 | Transferred 10/25/2024 | Docket: <https://rico.fentonvstory.com/3-24-cv-01282/> | [Court Listener](#)

United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan | Case #1:23-cv-01097 | Filed 10/13/2023 | Docket: <https://rico.fentonvstory.com/1-23-cv-01097/> | [Court Listener](#)

FENTON v. STORY (1:23-cv-1097) <http://rico.jefffenton.com/discovery/>
<https://casetext.com/case/fenton-v-story>

Fraud on the Court by Officers of the Court: Williamson County Chancery Court Docket #48419B

SLAM the GAVEL Podcast Interview from 8/4/2024 about my RICO Lawsuit with Authors Brian Vukadinovich and Maryann Petri: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=plg5eEEEnVuU>

Brian Vukadinovich is the author of [MOTION for JUSTICE](#) (I Rest My Case), and [Rogues in Black Robes](#) (Destroying Lives and Committing Crimes with No True Accountability).

Maryann Petri is the author of [RAISED BY THESE WOLVES](#) (How Family and Federal Courts are Failing our Children), [Dismantling Family Court Corruption](#) ([Why Taking the Kids Was Not Enough](#)). Maryann is also the host of [SLAM the GAVEL](#) Podcast, focused on all family court topics, trauma, and healing.

From: CA06_Pro_Se_Efiling <CA06_Pro_Se_Efiling@ca6.uscourts.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2026 4:05 PM

To: FENTON v. STORY ET AL. <notice@fentonvstory.com>

Subject: 25-5592 Jeffrey Fenton v. Virginia Story, et al

Good afternoon Mr. Fenton,

This is a copy of the Emergency motion to seal docket entry #50.

Thank you,
Antoinette