

EXHIBIT A

FENTON FEDERAL ACCESS AND ACCOMMODATION REQUESTS

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 1-A

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.
 "ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

Date Filed	ECF	Filing Title	Date Ruled	Days Pending	Outcome
10/13/2023	M: 1-2	Affidavit of Marsha Ann Fenton	—	N/A	—
10/13/2023	M: 1-38	Request for Modification - ADA Accessibility	—	530	NO RULING
10/18/2023	M: 5	Pro Se Application for Electronic Filing and Service	12/13/2023	56	DENIED
10/20/2023	M: 7	Motion to Maintain Venue	01/25/2024	97	DENIED
12/29/2023	M: 10	Motion for Extension of Time to File Objection to Report and Recommendation	01/02/2024	4	GRANTED
12/29/2023	M: 11	Declaration [1] in Support of Objection to 12/13/2023 Report and Recommendation	—	N/A	—
01/19/2024	M: 14	Objection to This Court's "Report and Recommendation"	01/25/2024	6	GRANTED IN PART

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 1-B

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.

"ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

ECF	Relief Requested	Notes
M: 1-2	Supporting sworn evidence of disability-related limitations affecting concentration, multitasking, interruption recovery, writing speed, and completion.	Legal writing is exceptionally difficult, requiring numerous rewrites and frequent all-night work for days on end; even a simple letter can take him seven hours or more, while legal work can remain incomplete despite extraordinary efforts.
M: 1-38	Additional time and patience, electronic court communications, and procedural flexibility based on documented disabilities.	Carried into the federal record; later partially renewed in ECF 16, expanded in ECF 32, and repeatedly requested in subsequent filings.
M: 5	Direct CM/ECF filing access and electronic service.	The electronic-filing request was later renewed as disability-related access relief in ECF 16 and repeatedly thereafter.
M: 7	Retain the case in Michigan for geographic accessibility or, if transferred, provide remote participation and electronic access.	Denied without prejudice; the geographic, remote-participation, and electronic-access concerns were materially expanded in ECF 102 and renewed in TNMD DOC 197.
M: 10	Extension of time to complete and file an objection to the Report and Recommendation.	Handwritten filing prepared at court after the mailed R&R arrived only two days before the deadline; the extension was granted by ECF 13.
M: 11	Electronic notice and sufficient time beyond fourteen days to research, organize, and prepare a meaningful objection.	First objection-support declaration, later revised by ECF 15; its electronic-notice and fourteen-day access requests were not expressly decided in ECF 31 and were later repeated in TNMD DOC 222 and presented to the Sixth Circuit in Doc. 46.
M: 14	Reject the recommendation and permit the federal action to proceed rather than be dismissed; consider the access and disability circumstances documented in ECF 15.	ECF 31 rejected the recommended improper-venue dismissal but did not grant all requested relief.

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 2-A

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.
 "ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

Date Filed	ECF	Filing Title	Date Ruled	Days Pending	Outcome
01/19/2024	M: 15	Declaration [2] in Support of Objection to 12/13/2023 Report and Recommendation (Rev. 1/19/24)	—	N/A	—
01/19/2024	M: 16	Motion for 45-Day Extension to Serve Parties ... Motion for Prepayment of Service Fees-Service by U.S. Marshals; Motion for ADA Accommodations; Request for Clarification	07/08/2024	171	GRANTED IN PART
01/19/2024	M: 17	Motion for a Protective Order	07/08/2024	171	DENIED
01/19/2024	M: 18	Declaration of Marsha Ann Fenton Regarding Son Jeffrey Ryan Fenton and Tennessee Legal Proceedings	—	N/A	—
03/25/2024	M: 32	Fenton Declaration of Disabilities	—	366	NO RULING
03/25/2024	M: 35	Declaration Regarding Service Fees	—	N/A	—
03/25/2024	M: 36	Motion for Prepayment of Service Fees	07/08/2024	105	DENIED

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 2-B

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.
 "ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

ECF	Relief Requested	Notes
M: 15	Honor the filed modification request and provide disability-related consideration for communication, multitasking, and concurrent-workload limitations.	Revised declaration replacing ECF 11 and supporting ECF 14; ECF 31 considered the objection but did not expressly decide the accommodation request, including the repeated fourteen-day limitation.
M: 16	Forty-five additional service days; court-funded or USMS-assisted service; ECF access as an accommodation; clarification; and relief from simultaneous procedural demands.	The forty-five-day extension was granted; ECF access, USMS or prepaid service, clarification, and relief from simultaneous procedural demands were not granted.
M: 17	Enjoin new protective/restraining orders and restrict additional litigation outside this matter while the case proceeded.	No timing, sequential-treatment, or comparable protective substitute appears in the order.
M: 18	Third-party support for disability-related time, sequential treatment, impaired multitasking, and relief from simultaneous legal demands.	Later relied upon as third-party support in access, stay, and sequential-treatment requests.
M: 32	Disability-related consideration for exceptionally slow drafting, document compression, multitasking, and deadline limitations, including flexibility to communicate substantial matters through multiple shorter declarations.	Although titled a declaration, ECF 32 expressly requested relief; its documented limitations and requested accommodations were later incorporated into MIWD, TNMD, and Sixth Circuit access filings.
M: 35	Supporting financial evidence that Plaintiff could not prepay service costs and required assisted-service alternatives.	Later service motions sought alternatives and USMS assistance.
M: 36	Court prepayment or USMS-assisted service, with reimbursement after a favorable outcome.	Alternative-service and assisted-service requests continued in TNMD.

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 3-A

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.
"ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

Date Filed	ECF	Filing Title	Date Ruled	Days Pending	Outcome
08/19/2024	M: 60	Motion to Recuse Magistrate Judge Ray Kent	09/12/2024	24	DISMISSED AS MOOT / NO MERITS RULING
08/19/2024	M: 61	Motion to Extend Service Deadline	—	219	NO RULING
08/19/2024	M: 62	Objection to This Court's "Order Regarding Service"	09/12/2024	24	DENIED
09/25/2024	M: 89	Notice, Declaration, and Motion Regarding the Naming and Address of Defendant Thomas Anderson in This Lawsuit	—	182	NO RULING
09/27/2024	M: 90	Notice, Declaration, and Motion Regarding the Naming and Address of Defendant Bank of America in This Lawsuit	—	180	NO RULING
10/10/2024	M: 99	Amended Motion for Sanctions	—	167	NO RULING
10/10/2024	M: 100	Amended Motion to Require All Filings to Include a Certification Stating Their Contents Are Factually True and Compliant with F.R.C.P. Rule 11(b), Sworn to Under the Penalty of Perjury	—	167	NO RULING

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 3-B

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.

"ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

ECF	Relief Requested	Notes
M: 60	Recuse Magistrate Judge Kent, remove him from further participation, and protect Plaintiff from continued prejudice affecting service, access, and case administration.	The district judge vacated the referral to Magistrate Judge Kent but expressly dismissed the recusal motion without considering its merits or remedying the substantial harm already caused.
M: 61	Thirty additional days to complete service because equipment failure prevented timely printing.	Remained unresolved through dismissal and was renewed through the TNMD service-relief chain.
M: 62	Review ECF 55, grant a hearing before dismissal, and reconsider denied service, ECF, timing, and access relief.	DOC 222 later explained inability to complete the objection within fourteen days.
M: 89	Correct Thomas Anderson's name and address to support accurate party identification, service, and case administration.	Remained unresolved through dismissal despite directly affecting party identity and service.
M: 90	Correct Bank of America's name and address to identify the intended defendant accurately and support service and case administration.	Remained unresolved through dismissal despite directly affecting party identity and service.
M: 99	Sanctions and corrective action concerning allegedly false filings by Story and counsel, so Plaintiff would not be required to continue expending limited time, resources, and briefing capacity before record-integrity issues were addressed.	Part of the same unresolved threshold-access chain as ECF 100 and ECF 101; later incorporated into the requested threshold-first structure in ECF 109 and TNMD DOC 224.
M: 100	Require factual-truth and Rule 11(b) certification, under penalty of perjury, and an expedited ruling before further responsive work.	Intended to protect record integrity and reduce the time, expense, and briefing capacity consumed responding to allegedly false or misleading filings; later incorporated into ECF 109 and TNMD DOC 224 as a threshold-first request.

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 4-A

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.
 "ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

Date Filed	ECF	Filing Title	Date Ruled	Days Pending	Outcome
10/10/2024	M: 101	Counter-Affidavit Correcting Story's Allegedly False Claims	—	167	NO RULING
10/10/2024	M: 102	Amended Declaration Voicing Plaintiff's Concerns About Transferring This Action to Tennessee	10/25/2024	15	EFFECTIVELY DENIED
10/21/2024	M: 109	Motion to Stay All Dispositive Motions Until Service Is Complete, a Ruling Is Made on [ECF 100], and This Case Is Transferred to the Correct Venue	—	156	NO RULING
10/21/2024	M: 110	Objection to "The Tennessee Defendants' Motion to Dismiss ..."	—	156	NO RULING
10/21/2024	M: 111	Objection to Defendants Virginia Lee Story and Story and Abernathy, PLLP's Motion to Dismiss ...	—	156	NO RULING
11/25/2024	T: 177	Motion for Alternative Service	—	121	NO RULING
01/21/2025	T: 197	Motion to File Electronically and for Remote Participation	—	64	NO RULING
02/25/2025	T: 211	First Objection to All Motions to Dismiss, for Summary Judgment, and Motions/Orders to Redact and Seal Documents	—	29	NO RULING

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 4-B

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.

"ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

ECF	Relief Requested	Notes
M: 101	Correct and rebut Story's allegedly false affidavit so the court would not rely on disputed factual assertions without addressing Plaintiff's sworn counter-evidence.	Core to the same access and record-integrity chain as ECF 99 and ECF 100; Plaintiff sought a reliable factual record before expending limited time, resources, and briefing capacity responding to disputed defense filings.
M: 102	Retain or transfer the case to an accessible location or, if outside Michigan, provide remote participation and direct ECF access.	The case was transferred without granting remote participation or ECF access; TNMD DOC 197 renewed both requests.
M: 109	Stay dispositive motions until service was completed, the truth-certification request was decided, and transfer was resolved, reducing simultaneous burdens.	Renewed and expanded in TNMD DOC 224 as part of a threshold-first, sequential case-management request.
M: 110	Allow time to complete service and respond to one dismissal motion at a time.	DOC 224 expressly requested one-motion-at-a-time scheduling based on disability.
M: 111	Preserve the objection and notify the court that the requested service extension in ECF 61 remained undecided.	Direct evidence that a requested service extension remained outstanding at transfer.
T: 177	Permit alternative service by email or USMS at court expense to overcome service-cost and access barriers.	Renewed in DOC 223.
T: 197	Provide direct electronic filing and remote participation by telephone or video for all relevant pretrial matters, based on disability and cost barriers.	Renewed in DOC 224 and DOC 238 and presented to the Sixth Circuit in Doc. 46.
T: 211	Provide public access and sufficient time to respond after threshold truth-certification and sanctions issues were addressed.	Linked public access and additional response time to prior resolution of the sanctions and truth-certification issues; followed by more specific redaction relief in DOC 212 and scheduling relief in DOC 224.

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 5-A

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.
 "ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

Date Filed	ECF	Filing Title	Date Ruled	Days Pending	Outcome
02/26/2025	T: 212	Declaration and Motion to Correct and Minimize or Strike and Remove Redactions, While Unsealing All Records	—	28	NO RULING
02/27/2025	T: 214	Declaration Regarding Finances, Marital Roles, Property, Education, Earning Capacity, Spousal Support, and Fraud (Amended)	—	N/A	—
02/28/2025	T: 217-219	Declaration and Motion to File Under Seal Regarding Defendant Walker's Claimed Privacy Concerns	—	26	NO RULING
03/04/2025	T: 220	Notice Regarding Future Filing Notices for the Defendants	—	22	NO RULING
03/04/2025	T: 221	Notice, Declaration, and Motion to Amend the Naming of Defendant "Spragins, Barnett & Cobb, PLC" and to Compel Them to Make an Appearance in This Matter	—	22	NO RULING
03/07/2025	T: 222	Second Objection to All Dispositive Motions, Redacted and Sealed Filings, with Declaration About Judicial Misconduct in Michigan Causing Substantial Delays in Service	—	19	NO RULING
03/07/2025	T: 223	Second Motion to Extend Time and Assist with Perfecting Service	—	19	NO RULING

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 5-B

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.

"ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

ECF	Relief Requested	Notes
T: 212	Unseal filings and replace document-level restrictions with narrow, line-specific redactions.	Incorporated in DOC 238 and described as a threshold public-access issue in Sixth Circuit Doc. 46.
T: 214	Sworn support concerning disability, finances, document-compression burden, and the need for accommodation and access relief.	Repeated and expanded sworn limitations previously stated in MIWD ECF 32 and later relied upon in access and stay requests.
T: 217-219	Permit filing under seal of materials addressing Defendant Walker's claimed privacy and safety concerns while preserving the evidence and record.	Filed as a three-document series and remained unresolved through dismissal.
T: 220	Permit email notices while the ECF request remained pending because repeated physical notice was unaffordable and burdensome.	No ruling was entered before dismissal; the filing documented the financial and logistical burden of continuing physical notice while the ECF-access request remained unresolved.
T: 221	Deem service perfected or identify defects; extend time to cure; permit USMS or alternative re-service; and compel appearance.	Incorporated into the service plan in DOC 223.
T: 222	Preserve and obtain review of access and timing objections, including why fourteen-day periods, paper filing, and unresolved ECF and service barriers were not meaningfully usable.	Repeated prior federal notice that fourteen-day deadlines, paper filing, and unresolved access barriers were not meaningfully usable; later presented directly to the Sixth Circuit in Doc. 46.
T: 223	Extend service until service motions were decided; grant DOC 177; inspect and identify service defects; permit cure or USMS re-service; and defer substantive matters until service was resolved.	Held in abeyance on March 11, 2025 pending pro se initial review; DOC 236 later terminated it without deciding the requested service extension, cure instructions, or service assistance on the merits.

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 6-A

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.

"ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

Date Filed	ECF	Filing Title	Date Ruled	Days Pending	Outcome
03/12/2025	T: 224	Second Motion to Stay All Dispositive Motions Until Service Is Completed and the Court Has Ruled on Plaintiff's Outstanding Expedited Motions, with Clarifications About How to Proceed	—	14	NO RULING
04/23/2025	T: 238	Objection to Memorandum of Opinion and Order, and Judgment Entry, with Declaration and Rule 59 Motion to Alter Judgment or for a New Trial	05/19/2025	26	DENIED
04/23/2025	T: 238-3	Declaration of Unconstitutional Destruction and Oppression with ADA Interference, Coercion, Intimidation, and Retaliation / (in Support of Rule 59 Motion)	—	N/A	—
05/02/2025	M: 141-1	First Amendment Right of Access: Objection, Motion, and Declaration ... to Correct & Minimize or Remove Redactions	05/02/2025	—	REJECTED / NO MERITS RULING
07/23/2025	6: 14	Motion for Pauper Status	04/02/2026	253	DENIED
07/23/2025	6: 24	Motion for Continuance to Prepare Criminal and Ethics Filings, with Notice of Judicial and Professional Misconduct, and Failure to / Enforce Codes of Conduct as Required, / to Maintain a Fair and Impartial Tribunal	08/04/2025	12	GRANTED IN PART

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 6-B

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.

"ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

ECF	Relief Requested	Notes
T: 224	Decide threshold motions first; complete service; narrow the matters requiring response; issue a clear schedule; and allow sufficient time to address one dispositive motion at a time, accounting for mailing, disability, ECF, and remote-participation needs.	No staged, sequential, threshold-first, mailing-adjusted, ECF, or remote-participation structure was provided before dismissal.
T: 238	Alter judgment; narrow the case; grant ECF and remote participation; appoint counsel; provide additional time; and preserve the right to answer each dispositive motion fully.	The Rule 59 motion renewed ECF, remote-participation, narrowing, counsel, additional-time, and motion-specific response requests. DOC 238-2 incorporated the public-access filing, and DOC 238-3 supplied sworn disability support; the order denied DOC 238 without separate treatment of those access requests.
T: 238-3	Supply sworn evidence of exceptionally slow drafting, impaired multitasking, disability-related access interference, and the need for ECF, remote participation, and additional preparation time in support of DOC 238.	Reproduced in substance in Sixth Circuit Doc. 46, pp. 17-63, as sworn support for continuing access and briefing-structure requests.
M: 141-1	Correct or minimize redactions and restore public and record access.	Rejected because the MIWD action had already been transferred; the access request was not adjudicated on the merits. The same document had already been incorporated in TNMD as DOC 238-2.
6: 14	Waive the appellate filing fee through in forma pauperis status.	Fee was later paid; the no-good-faith/IFP issue was raised again in Doc. 46.
6: 24	Grant a ninety-day continuance before briefing; provide remote participation, direct CM/ECF access, and public access or unsealing relief.	Pro se email submission was allowed; direct CM/ECF access was denied; abeyance and public-access relief were denied without prejudice; substantive requests were referred to the merits panel.

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 7-A

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.
 "ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

Date Filed	ECF	Filing Title	Date Ruled	Days Pending	Outcome
06/17/2026	6: 46	Emergency Motion to Stay or Extend Appellant Brief Deadline; for Leave to File Delayed Objections and Reconsideration of Doc. 31-1 and Doc. 42-1; for Panel Review; and for Threshold ... Relief Before Merits Briefing*; includes pp. 71-87 sworn declaration	06/26/2026	9 to first relief; 29 to briefing reset; 34+ unresolved	GRANTED IN PART

25-5592 Exhibit A - Fenton Federal Access and Accommodation Requests - Page 7-B

Court key: M = Western District of Michigan; T = Middle District of Tennessee; 6 = Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals.

"ECF" is used in place of "Filing No." for all three courts.

ECF	Relief Requested	Notes
6: 46	Stay or extend briefing; permit delayed review of Docs. 31-1 and 42-1; obtain panel review; resolve access, service, record-integrity, truth-certification, and threshold matters before merits briefing; authorize staged, one-issue-at-a-time, or enlarged briefing; and provide sufficient preparation time.	Docs. 47 and 49 provided timing relief, but delayed review, panel review, threshold-first treatment, staged or one-issue briefing, enlarged briefing limits, and related access and record-integrity requests remained unresolved through the latest authenticated docket.