7

TITLE CORRECTED

IN THE CHANCERY COURT FOR WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE
AT FRANKLIN

FAWN [redacted] FENTON, Plaintiff/Wife, v. JEFFREY RYAN FENTON, Defendant/Husband.	)))))))	Docket No: 48419B **Vol-1, P-119** **through** **Vol-2, P-181**

HUSBAND'S RESPONSE AND COUNTERMOTION TO WIFE'S MOTION FOR VIOLATION OF THE EX PARTE ORDER OF PROTECTION, AND IN OBJECTION TO ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SELL THE MARITAL RESIDENCE, AND HUSBAND'S ANSWER AND COUNTER-COMPLAINT TO WIFE'S COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE,
HEREAFTER REFERRED TO AS HUSBAND'S "ONE AND DONE"

COMES NOW the Defendant/Husband, Jeffrey Ryan Fenton, for his response to the Wife's Motions, along with Husband's Countermotions, addressing all allegations to date, stating as follows:

First Husband would like to bring to the court's attention, the disabilities with which he has been diagnosed, and continues ongoing treatment for. If not properly understood, one could easily draw incorrect conclusions, specifically about Husband's communications, in how he speaks and even more so, his excessive use of words when writing. Please see Exhibit-A for a thorough explanation regarding this, from both Terry M. Huff (LCSW), Husband's Psychotherapist, and Dr. Richard E. Rochester (M.D.), Husband's Psychiatrist.

Husband suffers from the following handicaps:

Obsessive-Compulsive Personality Disorder (OCPD) DSM-5 301.4 (F60.5)

Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD) DSM-5 300.02 (F41.1)

Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) DSM-5 314.01 (F90.2)

I filed this in Chancery Court on 8/29/2019. I saw Binkley and Story with this in their hands during Court. I refiled this in the Court of Appeals on 10/28/2020, with a "Motion to Supplement and Correct the Record", clarifying that this document contains an **answer/counter** to every vexatious complaint by Story. Emphasizing that I never failed to plead, hence there could be no good faith "default judgments" against me in #48419B.

Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK, ECF No. 1-18, PageID.766 ~ ECF No. 1-22, PageID.1038

MISTITLED (AS FILED)

IN THE CHANCERY COURT FOR WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE
AT FRANKLIN

2019 AUG 29 AM 9:17

FILED FOR ENTRY

Docket No: 48419B

FAWN [redacted] FENTON, Plaintiff/Wife,	)
v.	)
JEFFREY RYAN FENTON, Defendant/Husband.	)

HUSBAND'S RESPONSE AND COUNTERMOTION TO WIFE'S MOTION FOR VIOLATION OF THE EX PARTE ORDER OF PROTECTION AND FOR DATE CERTAIN FOR WALK THROUGH OF HOUSE AND MOTION FOR SCHEDULING ORDER

COMES NOW the Defendant/Husband, Jeffrey Ryan Fenton, for his response to the Wife's Motion, along with Husband's Countermotion, stating as follows:

First Husband would like to bring to the court's attention, the disabilities with which he has been diagnosed, and continues ongoing treatment for. If not properly understood, one could easily draw incorrect conclusions, specifically about Husband's communications, in how he speaks and even more so, his excessive use of words when writing. Please see Exhibit-A for a thorough explanation regarding this, from both Terry M. Huff (LCSW), Husband's Psychotherapist, and Dr. Richard E. Rochester (M.D.), Husband's Psychiatrist.

Husband suffers from the following handicaps:

Obsessive-Compulsive Personality Disorder (OCPD) DSM-5 301.4 (F60.5)

Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD) DSM-5 300.02 (F41.1)

Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) DSM-5 314.01 (F90.2)

There was also an agreed extension between counsel (Duke/Story) for me to file a formal divorce answer/counter later, which I still planned to do. I have a long recorded phone call with Attorney Mitchell Miller, where he instructed me on how to navigate discovery on my own. I filed this ad-hoc **"One and Done"** as a temporary emergency back-up, to protect myself from exactly the sort of "defaults" Story and Binkley still levied against me. I knew they were violating my rights and could not be trusted. **This was my insurance plan, which they still completely ignored.** The Judicial Canons, State, Federal, and Constitutional Laws, the Federal Rules of Civil and Bankruptcy Procedure, the State of Tennessee's Rules of Judicial and Professional Conduct, all meant nothing. (Racketeering Under Color of Law!)

Circadian Rhythm Sleep Disorder (CRSD) Non-24-Hour Sleep-Wake Disorder (Non-24)
DSM-5 307.45 (G47.24)

Special Note: Although "OCPD" (Obsessive-Compulsive Personality Disorder) sounds very similar to "OCD", a disorder and acronym which are much more common, "OCPD" is an entirely different disorder, with very little, if anything, in common with "OCD". Please take a moment to discover the differences, as is well described, in Exhibit-A.

1. The Facebook post is deeply regretted and was deleted as soon as Husband was informed that it could be interpreted as a violation of the Temporary Order of Protection (within a matter of hours). Wife was not named in the post, furthermore the post was only to be found by searching the Husband's "Stories", not in the regular user "Feed". Wife continued to search Husband's "Stories" even after requesting the Temporary Order of Protection, based almost entirely upon fraudulent claims.

Still, Husband was wrong for venting on Facebook. Not only was the platform wrong, but the words which Husband angrily spewed were also very wrong. Not just because of the Temporary Order of Protection, but because they depicted God as Husband's little "underling", who "blesses" and "curses" people upon his command. That's not who God is. God is Love. Likewise, I shouldn't try to leverage any knowledge or belief in God, to harm, hurt, distress, curse, anyone, ever! For that Mrs. Fenton, I am sincerely sorry, and I ask that you please forgive me. I also ask for you to please remember, that despite what all I may think that I know, or see, or find true, that your Father God loves you, and he is NEVER going to curse the work of your hands or your heart. God will always love you through the most warm, expectant, grateful, compassionate eyes, which you've ever

Case 3:24-cv-01282 Document 18-7 Filed 01/19/24 Page 4 of 65 PageID #: 2528
https://rico.jefffenton.com/evidence/2019-08-29_husbands-one-and-done-answer-to-all.pdf Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK (FENTON v. STORY et al.)

imagined. Though we may both do great wrongs within our lifetimes, God will never see that when he looks at you!

As for those who are shaken, scared, or concerned about Husband's extreme verbosity, grandiose language, searching, frustrated, angry, and at times even hostile WORDS, that is ALL that they are. They are not words which lead to something worse. There is no need to "read between the lines". Husband has no (or very, very little) "internal filter". If there is something on Husband's mind, then he says it, probably five times. So, if anyone is "adding to" Husband's words, fearing some greater storm ahead, they are fictitiously making-up a false narrative, as the words are the entire payload. They are the only thing that Husband has ever "threatened" anybody with.

In nearly 50 years, Husband has never been arrested for anything, ever. Husband hasn't even been cited with a traffic ticket, during his 25 years living in Tennessee. Prior to Wife's secretly planned divorce, which Husband was the last to learn about, "words" had usually been Husband's friend, and were often held in high-esteem by others, though you would never know it by looking at the wreckage of the past year and a half of Husband's life. There has been too much loss, too quickly, during too vulnerable of a season. With Husband's words, he is literally "fighting for his life". He has not a dollar, a home, a job, or a vocation to leverage in defense of himself. "Words" are all that Husband has (along with proof when there is time), and they just haven't been enough to survive this unforeseen, dedicated, non-relenting, course of mammoth unrecoverable loss.

Husband has never been physically aggressive. Husband can't remember getting into a "fist fight", in his entire life. To accuse Husband of physical violence, is not only inaccurate and unsubstantiated, but it is also harassing, abusive, and violent to Husband's character, which he takes very seriously.

Though you may be able to read Husband's words, with the intensity and ferocity which they sometimes bring, and interpret them to be suggesting or threatening any type of "physical violence", that is never what Husband is threatening or even suggesting. Husband's greatest threat, to anyone, is to lock himself inside his office for a week, while publishing painfully clear evidence online (if you can touch it, if you can feel it, if you can smell it) of the wrongs which someone else has leveraged to harm Husband. Regardless of what sort of "package" Husband comes "wrapped-in", the truth is on his side. For Husband cares not enough about what someone else thinks of him, to be pretentious. To be fake. To be egotistical. To be proud. Husband's top-two values in life are truth and authenticity. Citing, "To thine own self be true!"

To add context to the following two sentences, Husband is talking about a family of 8-racoons and a few opossums which he feeds at the marital residence's back door every night, with water kept outside for them year around. "Yet it will be too late to save my family of friends. They will be confused and distraught, with some probably even perishing, waiting for me to come home and care for them, yet never will I be allowed."

Husband and Wife are both critter lovers and gotten many hours of enjoyment from all the wildlife here at Sunnyside. We first had a groundhog who lived under our deck for four

Case 3:24-cv-01282 Document 18-7 Filed 01/19/24 Page 6 of 65 PageID #: 2530
https://rico.jefffenton.com/evidence/2019-08-29_husbands-one-and-done-answer-to-all.pdf Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK (FENTON v. STORY et al.)

years, with two or three litters of pups, who would wrestle and play on our rear deck daily. (The last groundhog left from those litters, died on the same day which I was served the Divorce Complaint and the Ex Parte Order of Protection, from Wife's counsel.) We had a really cute skunk for a while, along with probably a dozen different opossums, who have become what we call our "Yard Pets". Now three generations of raccoons are Husband's daily guests.

Every night, Husband and Wife (now just Husband), puts out a bowl of food (size depending upon the number of guests), calling the critters in for dinner, after which they typically arrive to eat within a matter of minutes. (One of the things which Husband will miss the most.) With the marital residence backed-up to a massive hill, where Husband and Wife own to the very top, with hundreds of acres of undeveloped woods behind it, Husband and Wife purposely built a 3-sided fence around their backyard, so to keep neighbors and their pets out (to protect our wildlife), while leaving the back of the property unfenced, so that wildlife can freely come and go. Furthermore, over the past decade, Husband has hauled all the brush and branches from tree trimming and clearing, up into the woods, creating two massive brush piles, for the critters to live in, find shelter, and thrive. This area is full of life and was one of the truly unique attributes of this property, which Husband and Wife shall never be able to replace.

The marital residence is located in a deep and narrow valley. Across the street, the homes all backup to "Owl's Hill Nature Sanctuary", so that our valley is surrounded on all sides by hundreds of acres of protected woodlands, while being centrally located between downtown Franklin, downtown Brentwood, Green Hills, Belle Meade, and Bellevue, with

a direct path into the West side of Nashville via Hillsboro Road, where some of the most highly paid vocations exists. The neighborhood has the peaceful atmosphere of a campground or park, yet it is as close to the city as you can get, while living in such serene surrounds, for anywhere near the price-point of the marital residence. Husband and Wife expect that as Nashville continues to develop, that this property will double and maybe triple in value within their lifetimes. This home was their retirement plan, in addition to eventually starting a small architecture business from home, in another 15 years, once they are at retirement age, with Wife being the Architect, and Husband handling all the marketing, bookkeeping, and learning to draft, to assist Wife. This was their entire "retirement plan", being as all their "retirement savings", was entirely invested into the purchase and improvements of this property. Complimented by the home being scheduled to be fully paid-off within those 15 years, so that part-time employment from home would comfortably support them both, while living in paradise, the nicest residence and neighborhood which Husband and Wife had ever lived, and likely ever will.

Regretfully with this divorce, plus the massive added loss from selling the marital residence, home, before it appreciates beyond all which they've invested in it, Husband will never be able to realistically "retire". In addition to having no savings, while having a large pile of debt in his name, with no technical skills qualified to employ husband with even a mediocre income, and not enough "working years" remaining for Husband to ever advance enough in any professional field, or to accrue any meaningful savings, with which to fund any sort of "retirement". Additionally, since Husband has not held a W-2 job paying Social Security in over a decade, Husband will have extremely little Social Security

to even look forward to, a massively disadvantaged future from any which Wife shall be privileged to enjoy. Some of Wife's family is also very wealthy, so Wife stands to inherit enough money to independently fund her retirement, while Husband has no such fortune to look forward to.

So the marital residence, rich in value to both Husband and Wife, for not only the fantastic location and expected appreciation, but also because of being land-locked by huge protected lands, in the most wealthy and vocationally prosperous county, as well as arguably the best section of that county, intended to vocationally and economically benefit Husband and Wife for the remainder of their lives.

The loss of the marital residence, is not only the loss of a couple hundred-thousand dollars, to a family who can't sustain such a massive loss without pushing them both into bankruptcy, but it is also the loss of over half a million dollars of future value and opportunities, the loss of the only chance which Husband will ever have at "retirement", while currently almost 50 years old, and the loss of a standard of living which Husband will never be able to obtain half of again, within his lifetime.

If you wonder why Husband has been reluctant to sell his Home, it is not only the totality of all that he has worked for and accomplished in life, but it is also the only vehicle by which Husband could have leveraged to obtain anywhere near the same standard of living, to that which the Husband and Wife were privileged to enjoy together.

This divorce, along with the loss of the marital residence, considering Husband's disabilities and the vocational challenges which he will face for the rest of his life, is

essentially the loss of Husband's life as he has known it, and worked all his life to obtain and sustain. Husband has deeply grieved the loss of Wife and their family of furry "children" (a dog, two bunnies, multiple aquariums). Husband has also deeply grieved the loss of their marital residence, along with the tremendous value which it represented. Most of all, Husband has grieved the loss of his life, as ever he has known it, with this mammoth and catastrophic economic loss, which there is no plausible way for Husband to fully recover from, within the remainder of his lifetime. Now Husband will need to live in the basement of his mother's small two-bedroom, one bath, home, for a season. Located in a small town in Michigan (near "Flint), over an hour away from industries and vocational opportunities, equal to probably a quarter of the vocational opportunities, currently within 10 miles of Husband's home. In taking away his residence, Wife and the courts which Wife "gamed" and leveraged to oust Husband, have doomed Husband to a lifestyle ¼ of that which he has enjoyed over the past decade, and less than half that which Husband had 15 years ago, prior to meeting Wife.

While the court may deem Husbands rigidness in selling his home and his future to be unreasonable, Husband was literally "fighting for his life", with ultimately no say or control over the fate which Wife unilaterally forced upon him. Wife admitted knowing that this would realistically be a loss which Husband would never be able to recover from (even crying and apologizing), but regretfully Wife justified that Husband was an "acceptable loss" to regain her "independence". Demanding her "freedom" to enjoy the fruits of her vocational achievements, which have only been accessible to Wife and obtained because of the significant contributions (not mentioned herein) which Husband truly made to

Wife's licensing as an Architect, and the advancement of her career. While Wife will now temporarily seek to become "under-employed", at 50% - 75% of her current earning potential, helping to justify her bankruptcy, while alleviating much of the obligation to pay Husband alimony, under the guise of mental trauma and physical illness, which she erroneously attributes to Husband.

Really, Wife has managed narcolepsy successfully for well over a decade, and while early and extreme menopause has certainly taxed Wife physically for the past five years or so (which Husband largely blames for Wife's shift in allegiances to her family, ultimately pressuring Wife to divorce Husband), along with the mental stress of choosing to gamble so much money/debt/retirement, to oust Husband, while Wife has seriously compromised her integrity, committing fraudulent, unethical, and criminal acts, which she persists in, including perjury at both the State and Federal levels. This brings with it the risks of not only incarceration for Wife but could potentially result in the loss of her license as an Architect, for such blatant ethics violations. Husband believes that by Wife adamantly refusing any sort of "fair" divorce settlement, preferring rather to physically, mentally, and financially sabotage and destroy herself, forcing the loss of all their marital assets, that Wife is essentially giving herself "Chronic Fatigue Syndrome" in the process, by her absolute unwillingness to compromise at ALL costs.

Husband believes, based upon conversations with Wife, both oral and in writing, that Wife has been planning this since the end of 2018, knowing that her boss was soon planning to retire, while anticipating her Federal Income Taxes to increase to $31k per year post-divorce, under the new tax laws which went into effect at the start of 2019. Filing signally,

living in an apartment, while refusing all tax-wise options which Husband has fervently presented to Wife, as both an incentive and reward, to encourage Wife to continue to grow her career. Which is why Husband believes that Wife refused to sign any agreement with Husband, committing to the 50/50 equity split from selling the marital residence, combined with the $1,750 per month in "transitional" alimony, which the couple had verbally agreed to, for a duration of 6 years. This verbal agreement (also communicated via email) was a condition to the "Non-Suit", which they filed to sell their home outside the oversight of the courts, with Husband temporarily moving to Michigan. Since Wife repeatedly refused to "put her own words into writing", to secure Husband's equity split and their alimony agreement, Husband refused to relinquish possession of the marital residence, which had been his only "leverage" since wife abandoned him, because both mortgages were in Wife's name.

As shown in a text message from Wife, on December 22nd, 2018 (Exhibit-B), Wife stated to Husband as follows:

> "Correct, my tax situation is going to suck for a very long time… 90k gross – 31k taxes – 21k alimony = 38k net. Plus or minus."

Wife went on to say:

> "Someday when alimony is done, I **can** get a job making only $43k gross and have the same net of +/- $38k." (Emphasis added to point out that apparently the lower income is Wife's preference.)

Husband already had concerns, but as a result of this conversation via SMS, Husband became convinced that Wife planned to down-size her career, to reduce both her alimony and her income taxes, once her boss retired within the following year. Husband further became convinced, that this was Wife's compelling reason for refusing to sign the previously agreed upon terms of their verbal settlement agreement, to Non-Suit and sell the marital residence outside the courts. Husband was rightfully concerned, that had he gone to Michigan without a written agreement signed, that wife would have "stiffed" him, once the marital residence sold, knowing that Husband could not afford to pursue an out-of-state lawsuit against Wife, for alimony, nor could Husband afford to move back to Nashville, without alimony, after Husband surrendered possession of his home.

Several months later, in a face to face conversation with Wife, Wife admitted that she didn't sign the agreement, because she wasn't sure that she could afford the agreed alimony, speaking of seriously downsizing her occupation after her firm closed, stating that she is even considering seeking part-time employment, instead of her fulltime job.

This was when Husband knew that he could not rely on alimony to help rebuild his life, so Husband decided that his best chance at not losing literally everything, was by trying to keep the marital residence. First Husband planned to obtain roommates, to leverage the wasted space currently in the 2,500 square foot home, while also meeting both Husband and Wife's negative monthly cashflow (Wife claimed to have a negative cashflow of $400 - $500 monthly). After obtaining roommates, with Husband's total rents equaling $1,400 per month, Husband gave Wife the financial benefit of approximately $900 of those rents, per month. Which should have lifted Wife out of the red, with a positive monthly cashflow

of $400 - $500. This is why Husband believes that Wife needed to accept a voluntary pay cut with her employer, to prepare Wife to meet the financial qualifications for filing Chapter-13 bankruptcy.

Shortly after Husband discovered that Wife had filed bankruptcy, Husband was served with both the Ex Parte Order of Protection, and Divorce papers once again, after Wife had assured Husband, that she was done "wasting" her money on lawyers for a "contested divorce". Husband had been emailing Wife extensively, to ensure that she was keeping up the mortgage payments on their home, which Wife simply refused to answer or reply to. Since the home has Husband's life invested into it, keeping the mortgages current was critical to Husband, but he no longer had access to the mortgage information, being in Wife's name, since she had changed the account credentials to lock Husband out.

Despite how many times Husband asked Wife about the status of the mortgages, and even if Wife choose to "keep" the home in her bankruptcy (elected by one checkbox on the bankruptcy forms), both which Wife refused to answer. Then to make matters worse, the frequency of those very emails, in comparison with how frequently Wife chose to reply, was used by Wife and her counsel, as substantiation for requesting an Order of Protection for Wife. Although those emails contained urgent concerns regarding the possibility (and now a forced reality) of Husband losing literally everything, those emails did not contain anything malicious, and certainly not anything threatening, by any means. Yet Husband's counsel chose not to take the matter to trial, but rather to settle for maintaining the Ex Parte Order of Protection, throughout the duration of the divorce.

Husband understood that the Ex Parte Order of Protection prevented Husband from pursuing Wife, entering her world, or interfering with her life in any way. What Husband absolutely did not understand, was that this Ex Parte Order of Protection still allowed for Wife to enter Husbands world, interrupting the sanctity, privacy, and enjoyment of his home, legally forcing Husband and his roommates to vacate their home for hours at a time, under the threat of incarceration, should Husband refuse to comply.

This, combined by the instant loss of his home, per court order, absolutely pushed Husband over the edge, leading to Husband lashing out inappropriately on Facebook, after days of physical and emotional exhaustion, compounded by the stress of accruing a massive financial debt to his mother for legal fees, without even reaching the stating gate for his divorce. At that point, accruing more debt to maintain legal counsel, no longer made fiscal sense for Husband, especially in light of the fact that his home, which was the Husband's only meaningful asset, had already been ordered by the court to be auctioned in 45-days, with no minimum.

Since wife has preemptively filed for bankruptcy, substantially less financial relief is expected to be obtainable from her. Despite Wife's role as the family's primary breadwinner for over a decade, [redacted] and the breadth of financial and legal bullying" which Wife had engaged in against Husband, while using both illegal and unethical tactics to undermine the equity in their home without Husband even knowing. Both by accruing "marital debt" on her credit cards, to support two residences, after Wife abandoned Husband, with a poorly planned

Case 3:24-cv-01282 Document 18-7 Filed 01/19/24 Page 15 of 65 PageID #: 2539
https://rico.jefffenton.com/evidence/2019-08-29_husbands-one-and-done-answer-to-all.pdf Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK (FENTON v. STORY et al.)

budget, which could never cash-flow, as Husband immediately pointed out to Wife. Unfortunately, Wife insisted that she was smarter than Husband and "would figure it out".

Having managed the couple's finances for over 13 years, Husband knew beyond any doubt that the couple could not afford two Brentwood residences. They simply didn't have enough income to support or justify such a brash and irresponsible decision. The evidence of which now is Wife having been substantially fined by the IRS, after her first-time filing taxes for the family, while again aggressively refusing Husband's assistance.

Wife even fraudulently filed the couples 2018 joint tax return, without Husband's knowledge or consent. While she changed the marital address from the family's home to her apartment, changing the phone on file to her own, and scheduling the automatic refund to be deposited directly into her personal and now private bank account, without so much as notifying Husband. This demonstrates the extent to which Wife has been on a power-trip beyond anything that Husband had previously seen in her, as she continued to financially and legally "bully", dominate, and oppress Husband, throughout Wife's crusade to "cut-off every limb" to simply discard Husband, without offering Husband any post-divorce support or assistance of any sort.

At one-point Husband asked Wife:

> "Is there anything that I can do to help you, besides die?"

To which wife honestly answered:

> "No."

Later on, in a text message, Wife told Husband:

"You won't do anything for me, you won't let me be free."

As bad as Husband felt, still he was trapped inside a home which he could neither afford to keep nor to leave, as wife constantly "ripped the carpet out from under his feet". While denying Husband any opportunity to establish some basis of stability, without needing to rely upon Wife. That was one of the primary objectives for Husband obtaining roommates. Since most of the money went to benefit Wife anyways, Husband did not obtain roommates, choosing to share his living space with random strangers, simply for the immediate benefit.

Rather Husband was attempting to build a foundation which would be sustainable as Husband tried to obtain the vocational training and future job which would allow him to finally "free" Wife without the exorbitant need for alimony.

Husband's goal was simply to provide Wife with as much financial relief as he possibly could afford, putting off other financial commitments such as repaying his mother, and continuing to increase that relief as rapidly and substantially as possible. Hoping to gain back his financial independence, prior to Wife self-destructing, setting Husband back more than he could ever realistically recover from. Having shared that strategy with Wife (thinking it would appeal to her), Wife intentionally filed bankruptcy, before and to deny Husband of the opportunity to succeed in his declared agenda; to help save them both from financial ruins.

Utilizing a highly-strategic, extensively planned, fraudulent, focused, devoted, and relentless attempt to "discard" Husband without paying the alimony which Husband was legally due, as well as realistically needs, to have any chance at independently sustaining himself again, with even a fraction of the standard of living which he possessed 15-20 years ago. Husband believes that paying alimony is Wife's greatest fear, both due to her economic loss while doing so, without the beneficial tax advantages it included prior to 2019, compounded and exceeded by Wife's fear of her "losing face" with her elite, over-achieving, prosperous, snobbish, condescending, and judgmental family. (EXHIBIT-Z)

Husband believes that Wife's current philosophy is, that she can destroy herself, be burned to ashes, and still recover quicker, than she could if she agreed to pay Husband alimony fairly. Alimony could last for 6 or 7 years, while only providing Wife with enough income remaining to sustain the rest of her debt, after which she would still need to slowly pay it down. By self-sabotaging her career for a season, Wife has chosen to file bankruptcy, which will have all her debts legally satisfied in 3-5 years, while avoiding the expense of ever needing to pay Husband any substantial alimony. Although a complete betrayal of Husband (again), while further harming his chances at recovery, self-sabotaging and filing for Chapter-13 bankruptcy, as Wife has done, is literally the quickest path for Wife to financially recover. It will literally lead to at least a 50% quicker financial recovery time for Wife, than meeting her financial obligations to Husband and her creditors.

Meanwhile Husband is without, unqualified for, and possibly incapable of obtaining and maintaining gainful employment, a home again where he can both feel safe from storms (a serious phobia associated with Husband's GAD (Generalized Anxiety Disorder), where

Husband previously built a 40,000 pound, highly sophisticated storm-shelter in the basement of his Duplex, prior to meeting Wife. While Husband also feels safe in their current marital residence, since the home has a massive South-West facing hill, the direction which most severe weather comes from, following the jet-stream (this was a serious consideration in originally purchasing the home). Nestled within a tiny valley, which effectively makes the entire home, the safest place in which Husband has ever lived, which he has enjoyed without concerns about the weather, since it rarely impacts the home. Due to the natural shelter provided by the home's precise geographic location. (Previously, weather was a daily fear for Husband, affecting every facet of his life.)

To punctuate how critical this was, Husband and Wife would not have literally purchased a house on the opposite side of their street, since the South-West facing hill was so critical to Husband, in order to willingly forfeit the security and peaceful assurance, provided by his comfortable, customized, extremely robust storm shelter, which Husband had built inside his Duplex, prior to meeting Wife.

Now in addition to Husband losing the value of his home, Husband also lacks anywhere affordable to live, without Husband being physically forced to liquidate or discard the majority of his personal property, which is bulky, not of much value to others, but extremely important to Husband. So, Husband shall loose on every level, walking away from this marriage with less than he had 20 years ago. Crippled financially, materialistically, vocationally, and credit wise. Along with the increased physical and mental challenges, which typically increase with age, pushing the goal of recovery with a

fraction of the standard of living previously enjoyed (both prior to meeting Wife, and since) further out of Husband's reach.

Husband is repentant for that which he has done wrong, more than most people will ever understand. Husband understands that without his complicity and misplaced trust, he could have never found himself in such a dire state. Yet there is something much greater being done "wrong" here to Husband, than by Husband. As Wife leverages the law, along with her violent character assignations of Husband, with a fraudulent narrative, the uncommon minority stereotypes, media hype, and social anxieties. While gaining sympathy playing the victim, exploiting common misperceptions about the "weaker" more "fragile", "innocent" and "needy" gender. That is certainly the story which Husband believes that Wife would like the court to believe; however, that's not what Husband believes that the evidence here shows.

a Husband was sleep deprived, distraught, and overwhelmed after court on August 1st, when Husband learned that he is losing his home, which holds his entire life's savings, all his retirement, and nearly a decade of work, by the aggressive, unfair, harassing, demeaning, strategically planned, multi-faceted legal assault by Wife and her counsel. Wife's main objective is to not pay Husband alimony, at ALL costs. Even at the expense of destroying herself; her career, her health, and her life. (Claim will be backed with significant documentation.) Especially after the 2019 tax reform laws, where alimony is no longer tax deductible for the advantaged

party. Even though Wife has been the primary breadwinner for the past 12 years of their marriage.

b Wife has been "bullying" Husband, both financially and legally, with false claims. Wife's first divorce complaint stated that Husband is crazy, but highly skilled and employable, a complete contradiction of claims. Now Wife's narrative is that Husband is dangerous, while being highly employable since he is a "genius" with computers.

i Husband has been tested to have an IQ of 100, which is as perfectly "average" as they come.

ii Husband is not a "genius" at anything, especially related to any specific vocation, as he has spent his lifetime diluting his vocational value to any one discipline, by migrating from trade to trade, primarily determined by supply and demand, rather than passions, interests, and aptitude, which has been Wife's privileged vocational history. Prior to marriage, without any higher education, Husband has always been a blue-collar worker, often working two and sometimes three jobs simultaneously, simply to support himself with a comfortable but much, much lower standard of living, than the couple had together.

c Wife claims that Husband refuses to work.

i That claim couldn't be more of a lie. Husband works constantly to try to meet every need of the family, to contribute "his share", and to (impossibly) please Wife. Husband has never been lazy, nor even accused of such. Wife complains now, accusing Husband of refusing to have a job outside their home, because it adds leverage to her divorce complaint, based upon conventional roles and social expectations. [redacted]

Case 3:24-cv-01282 Document 18-7 Filed 01/19/24 Page 22 of 65 PageID #: 2546
https://rico.jefffenton.com/evidence/2019-08-29_husbands-one-and-done-answer-to-all.pdf Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK (FENTON v. STORY et al.)

[redacted]

iii Wife repeatedly assured Husband, that as long as the two could live on her income, without accruing a negative cash-flow, increasing their debt, that Wife was absolutely content in living their entire lives on primarily Wife's income, in order to have Husband manage and take care of every other major need for the family, and to be at her beckon call. Wife affectionately called Husband her "House Husband", both publicly and in private.

iv Wife also liked having Husband constantly at home, to care for, meet any emergency needs for, and provide company to the family's pets. Wife is a very abnormal pet lover, beyond any common conventional belief structure, and would sacrifice anything to ensure the happiness of the family's pets.

d Wife claims that Husband refused to sell their marital residence, despite the dire financial condition which Wife was in. That Husband was a constant obstructionist regarding the sale of their home.

i Though the home is a once in a lifetime opportunity for Husband and Wife, originally cherished by both, holding almost their entire net worth, which Husband had not only invested all

of his wealth into, but also his daily labor maintaining and improving the property for nearly a decade.

ii Recognizing the realistic alternatives while trying to determine how to rebuild his life, there were many times, frequently for several months, within the past year and a half, when Husband was not only willing to sell the marital residence, but Husband even offered to freely surrender his equity to Wife twice, with the sole condition that Wife live in the home and enjoy it for five years, before selling it, simply to profit from cashing-out and keeping both of their equity.

iii In all the above-mentioned instances, for one reason or another, often without Wife even providing an explanation or a response why, Wife chose not to perform, hence keeping our joint ownership in our home.

iv The urgent financial need has been fabricated by Wife, and Wife has refused to put the primary terms of her own verbal settlement agreement with Husband, on paper. Resulting in at least as much delay in selling the home as Husband has caused.

e With Wife possessing a degree from MIT, and being a licensed architect, with a $94k per year gross income, which is actually an income of $116.5k per year with her employer provided benefits included (while

Husband was a high-school drop-out and has never made over $50k per annum), neither of which would have been possible without Husband's support, it was jointly determined, very early in the marriage, that Wife's time is best leveraged earning income, while Husband's time is best leveraged making said income work hard and efficiently for our family, while filling every other crack in our lives. Husband managed, customized, and cared for our homes and their properties. Husband managed our finances, managed our taxes, managed a rental property for most of the marriage (Duplex), which Husband previously owned. Husband also ran several small businesses, from being a Residential Real Estate Agent, to opening a small marketing firm, building websites, performing IT work both locally and remotely, while managing and maintaining most of the Tech needs of Wife's architectural firm, for many years.

f Husband is completely self-taught in the area of computers and technology, without any licensing or certification, and having never worked for any company in that capacity, except for his own tiny start-up, which almost completely serviced Wife's employer. Similarly, Husband's "jack of all trades and master of none" career path, has diluted his value in any one specific discipline.

g Prior to the marriage, Husband worked blue-collar jobs all his life. The most recent of which was running multi-million-dollar printing presses, for Atlantic Envelope Company, with the global FedEx contract for

manufacturing their Tyvek envelopes. Husband was one of the top-three "Lead Pressmen", in both skill and wages, within his manufacturing plant. This was a good job, with good benefits, including an hourly rate of $24 per hour at its best, but there was a hard ceiling at that point with no room to grow, advance, explore, or excel.

h Husband's employment with Atlantic Envelope Company ended shortly after the marriage, after Wife obtained her Architect's License with Husband's help. Wife encouraged Husband to pursue a career in Real Estate, which excited us both for a while, as we explored the intersection between Architecture and Real Estate, taking on a "flip", rehabbing it literally from the ground-up, and selling it, while we studied other investment models and properties. In the end, both Husband and Wife found Real Estate to be stressful, unreliable, and generally disappointing. Through an aptitude test in counseling, both learned that Husband is "wired" more toward the technical professions, instead of what are primarily "people centric" occupations.

i Husband regretted leaving Atlantic Envelope Company for several years, since it was the best money and "fit" which he had found so far. Husband only feels good about a job, when he is seasoned, proven, and can out-perform most of his co-workers. Husband has always found his security in his skills, never before in his relationships.

j Within a few years, Atlantic Envelope Company was sold a couple of times, then they went bankrupt. The Nashville plant was closed, and finally the entire company went out of business. The same has been the case for CPS in Franklin, where Husband previously worked as an "Assistant Pressman". Along with nearly every manufacturing printing company in town. Printing, once the second largest industry by volume, in the Greater Nashville Area, probably isn't within the top 100 today. The industry is simply gone, largely due to home computers and overseas manufacturing.

k Husband needs vocational rehabilitation, in order to focus training on a specific tech discipline, to grow his skills, value, and to earn a certificate or license in an area which has the capacity to earn what Husband made prior to the marriage, over twelve years ago. Husband's only IT "reference" currently, would be Wife and Wife's employer. Neither of which are willing to lend their endorsement, despite Husband's excellent performance in serving their firm, in different roles for over a decade. While simultaneously saving them a small fortune, compared to industry-standard rates.

[redacted] Wife has manipulated and taken advantage of Husband, as well as the legal system, while extorting every bit of value from Husband's life, finally to discard him as a piece of trash without any responsibility or care. Wife blatantly lied to this court, to pretend to feel "physically

threatened", when Wife knows clearly that "words" are Husband's "weapon of choice". Actually, words are really what Wife fears the most from Husband, not in the toxic or abusive sense like she is portraying and you would expect (we rarely even communicate anymore), rather Wife fears Husband publishing evidence online, showing Wife's unethical, senseless, careless, and even criminal activities. Some of which Husband must now share here today, in order to have any chance at a fair trial, after the exhaustive amount of false and fraudulent narrative which Wife and her counsel have repeatedly attacked Husband with now (4x), before Husband even had the opportunity to file an "Answer and Counter Complaint for Divorce". Despite the false narrative presented by Wife and counsel to date, Husband continually wrestled with his first Attorney to file the "Answer and Counter", ultimately bringing about his "change in counsel", at Husband's choice.

a Wife's real reason for wanting an Order of Protection, was to use as a GAG order, preventing Husband from notifying the public online, or through local media, the scam which Wife is getting away with, legally and financially dominating Husband and then dumping him here, while self-sabotaging and lying about assets to qualify for bankruptcy, simply to exhaust any financial relief Husband is due, both during the interim, as well as after the divorce, by way of alimony.

b Through "Collaborative Divorce" with Sandy Arons, Husband and Wife learned that husband should be legally due between 22% - 24% of Wife's gross income, for approximately half the term of our marriage, which is about 6.5 years.

c In real numbers, during negotiations, that worked out to be between $2k - $2.2k in alimony, per month, for a period of 6 years (at that time). Even after Husband and Wife decided to do a "Non-Suit" to sell our home outside court, it was with the verbal agreement for the parties to split the proceeds from the sale 50/50, less only the first and second mortgages. Followed by Wife paying Husband transitional alimony, in the amount of $1,750 per month, for a duration of 6 years.

d The agreement was that after the marital residence was sold outside of court, each party would be responsible for the debts in their own name (hence the reason for doing this outside of court), each taking our 50% of the net sale proceeds, to do whatever we choose with it. Then, claiming to no longer have any "marital property" or "marital debt", we would file a FREE divorce using the forms provided online by the State of Tennessee. After which Husband would continue to receive the $1,750 per month (as transitional alimony, which can't be modified), for 6 years, as he sought vocational training, and began his whole life over at 50 years old.

e The only reason why Husband and Wife never proceeded with the plan to sell our home as agreed, is because Wife absolutely refused to put our agreement into writing. Though confirmed via email, Wife knew that her employer was planning to retire within the next year, so she expected the firm to close, and Wife wants to get a "fun" job now, or to only work "part-time", as she has since finally admitted.

f The Chapter-13 bankruptcy forced husband out of the house, with no prior warning about the default. Wife refused Husband's questions about their mortgage status, as he saw most of this coming, except for Wife's own bankruptcy, that was a surprise, but brilliantly cruel! While husband suspects those mortgage payments were funneled to pay for Wife's legal fees, both for her bankruptcy and the divorce, with Wife's current counsel.

[redacted]

Case 3:24-cv-01282 Document 18-7 Filed 01/19/24 Page 30 of 65 PageID #: 2554
https://ricc.jefffenton.com/evidence/2019-08-29_husbands-one-and-done-answer-to-all.pdf Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK (FENTON v. STORY et al.)

[redacted]

i Husband and Wife had promised each other that we were going to live in this home, on Sunnyside, for the rest of our lives. Everyday that's what Husband worked for… Forever! 60% of everything Husband did, wasn't for the benefit of that day, week, month, or even year… but to make a nice home for us forever! Without which, neither of us could have ever afforded to purchase a comparable home in this zip code. Husband would get to continue to work from home or be a "House Husband" as Wife affectionately called him (almost daily). Anything which Husband asked about around the House, Wife would make a snappy comeback with, "that's your job!" Husband's job never ended, and it was eventually discovered that once menopause started early (about 5 years ago), that Wife was absolutely impossible to please!

j Keep in mind, that Husband contributed about 60% of our start-up capital, toward the down payment, and nearly $100k in renovations the first

year we purchased our home. Both Husband and Wife cashed out all Roth IRA retirement funds, to invest into the down payment, as soon as the funds recovered 75% of their value prior to the 2008 housing market crash. So, with a purchase price of $350k in 2011, plus around $100k in renovations that first year alone, we were at around $450k during the start of 2012, then Husband sowed seven more years of work into improving our home, forever!

k During this time, Wife invested her life/time into increasing her professional value as an Architect. (Something which no-one can ever take away from her.) Meanwhile, Husband invested his life/time into customizing and maintaining our home forever, to enjoy and benefit from (he believed), for the rest of our lives. Which was abruptly taken away by Wife's scams, financial and legal coercion, and the court ruling an absolute auction with no minimums, including all of Husband's personal property, if he can't move it out quickly enough. As Husband simultaneously needs to spend days and weeks endlessly trying to learn how to legally survive Wife's constant legal harassment.

l As verified by the attached exhibits, the fraudulent narrative, and the motions and petitions filed by Wife hence far, Husband respectfully asks the court for relief, under the legislation known as "Stalking by Way of the Courts". Wife has filed abusive motions and petitions in this divorce, designed to "harass or maliciously injure" the Husband, by exhausting his economic resources and trying to force him to make financial concessions.

This is simply a litigious form of domestic assault. Also referred to as "malicious prosecution or abuse of the legal process".

i All filings by Wife under Docket No: 48419B, show some form of this oppressive harassment, stalking, and domestic abuse.

ii Additionally, the "MOTION TO DEEM HUSBAND SERVED", and all the attached ugliness, including our custom "No Trespassing" signs, at the entry, designed collaboratively by Husband and Wife together. Yet falsely portrayed by Wife, as an irrational act by Husband, further used as justification for the Ex Parte Order of Protection, filed by Wife against Husband, to further harass, control, stifle, dominate, and injure Husband's first and second amendment constitutional rights, knowing exactly how crucial those freedoms are to both Husband and Wife.

1 Wife's counsel filed this motion on 6/20/2019, the day after Husband's counsel (then), Attorney Brittany Gates, communicated with Ms. Story on 6/19/2019, informing her that Ms. Gates was representing Husband, that Husband had already received service, and that Ms. Gates was Husband's Counsel of Record. None the less, Wife's counsel filed this motion with the court, though totally unnecessary, purely for the opportunity to further

smear the Husband's name, with their false and fraudulent narrative, solely for more litigious leverage over Husband. (They weren't going to let all that good ugliness go to waste.) Furthermore, someone from Ms. Story's office directly emailed the documents to Husband, though they had already received notice that Ms. Gates was Husband's Counsel of Record. Such created an ex parte communication, which was wholly abusive and unnecessary (Exhibit-C).

2 The marital residence was purchased on 4/29/2011 (Exhibit-D).

3 Honeywell Vista alarm system, was purchased on 6/13/2011 (Exhibit-E).

4 Zavio IP Dome surveillance camera was purchased on 3/15/2013 (Exhibit-F).

5 No Trespassing signs purchased Nov/Dec 2015 (Exhibit-G) shows communications between Husband and Wife will selecting sign styles, along with purchase receipts.

6 Hikvision IP network surveillance cameras (10x) were purchased on 1/20/2016. Floorplan design by Wife, allocation by Husband and Wife (Exhibit-H). Installation by Husband. The floorplan provided is file

dated 9/5/2016, with Wife's handwriting visible on the bottom-right, with the following dimensions "outerhole: 3 ½" from each inside edge of facia…" Wife drew other plans and elevations, to help Husband determine roof/soffit/facia relationships and dimensions to install throughout.

[redacted]

8 Alarms are normal for everyone, Husband and Wife had those at their previous home. Husband and Wife also had "No Trespassing" Signs at their previous home,

though that was primarily due to the transient rental neighborhood that the Duplex was zoned in, near Nipper's Corner.

9 The signage was for setting "boundaries". The alarm was really the only thing for "security". The surveillance cameras (which weren't monitored), were only for "accountability", after damage or intrusion was detected and researched for proof.

10 All of the electronics had something to do with the fact that both Husband and Wife like electronics, Husband more so than Wife, yet both are geeks at heart. Additionally, Husband's small business from home was in the tech industry, and Husband enjoyed learning about new technologies while installing them in his home first, to see if there might be any viability to adding that to the services he offered. Most took way too much time for Husband to ever be able to reasonably offer installation services to others. At the same time, both Husband and Wife got to enjoy a home (forever) which had built-in technologies, which neither of the parties could have ever afforded to pay third-party companies to install, administer, manage, and host. (At least not concurrently.)

[redacted]

n Husband believes that with the assistant of Wife's father, Wife undermined Husband's equity, by redirecting months of missed mortgage payments to the destination of Wife's choice. Hence benefitting Wife toward another financial need, while forcing the home toward foreclosure, and simultaneously leaving the court with no choice but to eject husband and tenants, followed by auctioning the home.

o Husband refused to render himself "homeless", without having in writing (even without lawyers), some assurance about how Husband could again afford to have a place to live. Now because of Wife's games, her deep dark strategy [redacted] allows Wife's income to decrease (as she plans), and the bankruptcy court will modify her "bankruptcy plan" to accommodate her reduced income.

p Husband insists that Wife had no legitimate need for an OP, but rather that she simply wanted the protections of a "GAG" order, along with

the opportunity to assassinate Husband's character and continue with her fraudulent narrative. Wife was armed at all times, with both her Glock .40 caliber handgun, which she keeps inside her purse (it is under the seat of her car, while in court) and a large law-enforcement quality, pepper spray cylinder, attached to her keychain. Husband requests that the OP Ex Parte be abolished, as it was fraudulently requested, under false pretenses, for purposes other than which it was designed, as a sweeping order to provide physical safety to those in jeopardy of physical harm.

q One of the realities which Husband understands in life, is if someone calls the police and says (with panic), "Help! I'm scared that my Husband (brother or friend) might publicly expose the TRUTH about me online, along with the substantiating proof." That the police don't usually rush over to arrest, restrict, or confine the perpetrator.

r However, if you simply change a couple of words to say (with panic), "Help! I'm scared that my Husband (brother or friend) might physically harm me." In that event the police will probably rush right over, intervene, arrest, warn, restrict, or confine the perpetrator.

i At which point, if you can obtain an Order of Protection, or a Temporary Order, you're not only protected from physical harm (which was never really a concern).

ii You also are protected from a host of other concerns, since the "perpetrator" has had some of his basic constitutional rights revoked, as a result of the tiny lie which you told the police.

iii Husband has seen this abused more than once, and so has Wife, and people know the power which this one tiny lie can yield over others.

iv It is for this reason, that during Husband's research, that an Order of Protection is commonly referred to as "the second most oppressive and abused piece of legislation" used against the American people. It is also commonly referred to as "the nuclear bomb of divorces." Husband can personally attest to the accuracy of both of those descriptors.

s Even though Wife has convinced herself (and everyone within her circle of influence), that she is the victim here, really many of her "actions" (as opposed to Husband's "words") have been extremely aggressive, even violent, in a non-physically threatening way. Wife's unilateral choices, without a moment's notice, warning, or any opportunity to course correct, have financially devastated the family, and rendered all their property virtually worthless.

t Husband accepts his share of blame in all matters. Husband knows that he is neither a victim nor an abuser, he is something rather broken, in between.

[redacted]

[redacted]

w Throughout marriage, Husband felt as though he could never "catch-up". Between Husbands ADHD (challenge with focus) and OCPD (a need to do tasks excellently, or not at all), Husband never seemed to be able to "catch-up" to Wife (Exhibit-A). Wife appears to be counting upon that, with her divorce tactics now. To keep running, and running, and running. If Husband ever has the time and resources, he can disprove every false claim of Wife, which is why she is intentionally hitting him as hard and repeatedly as she can.

x The simple thing which Wife apparently doesn't see, is if she worked "with" Husband a little bit, to help him reach some level of financial, vocational, or residential stability, Husband would make his way on his own. Never as quickly as Wife. Never nearly as fruitful or prosperous as Wife. But that is life. Husband wants to be "free" as badly as Wife does. He just hasn't had the time to fight these litigious battles while trying to reinvent himself at 50 years old. Husband can handle one major project or challenge at a time, If Husband is to move, then Husband needs 2-3 weeks

with nothing else in the World to focus on besides moving. Likewise when he gets moved, he will need a couple of months to get sorted in his new environment, adjust to massive social and economic losses, and try to find some job to help buy food until he can improve his vocational training, to where he could be functionally independent again.

y Husband doesn't want to "use" Wife as she and her family believes. Husband made more money and had significantly more property than Wife when they met. Husband was never attracted to Wife because of her MIT degree or her professional future, she didn't have a dime when Husband met her, and was actually $15k in debt to her mother from her previous divorce.

z Husband's foundational belief is that both Husband and Wife reached this state of brokenness together, so they should work their way out of it together also, rather than poaching off of Husband's poor elderly mother, at the age of 50 years old. Husband's mother was primarily a single parent "nurse", with five children. Every penny Husband's mother has, is because she denies herself basic luxuries which Husband still enjoys daily, even though Husband is penniless, unemployed, largely unemployable (due to speed and specificity, along with outdated vocational skills and experience), and soon to be homeless. Please see the letter left to Husband and his mother's best friend and husband, regarding what she had saved her money for, since both of her parents got dementia as they aged (Exhibit-J).

aa After October of 2018, when the Court schedule was mostly full, through the end the year, Wife refused to "settle", saying that since she missed-out on the tax write-off, which was grandfathered for all who finalized their divorces prior to 2019. Wife has refused to work with an independent third-party by any means since. The reality is that $120k in alimony over the next six years, is a lot scarier to Wife than throwing away our equity in our home, than paying a lawyer $20k-$40k to fight a "contested divorce", or even than filing bankruptcy herself, in the end. Earning slightly less than a six-figure income and filing bankruptcy over $50k in debt. Husband is the opposite, has never had over a $50k per-annum job. [redacted] While Husband's last retirement investment in his home, is being essentially forced-out by fraud…

[redacted]

3. Husband has a sleeping disorder, which Wife believes to be "Non-24", that she learned about during one of the narcolepsy conferences which she attended. Husband never saw any confirmation regarding Wife's walk-through confirming any time or date for the walk-trough, since it was so short notice (Husband has told his counsel, that he requires at least twelve hours' notice prior to any commitments or meetings, because Husband is often awake all night, and sleeps all day. Such was the case in this instance.

Husband awoke around 3am to find information in his email about the appointment, after the scheduled date and time had already concluded, twelve hours prior. (Husband is often awake for 24 hours, then sleeps for 12 or 16. Husband must always know before going to bed, when he has any appointments or obligations the following day. , until after the time scheduled by Wife's counsel, while falsely claiming that I had confirmed the appointment time and date.

a Despite the complaints from Wife's counsel about Husband trying to delay or obstruct the walk-through or the auction in any way, that is completely false. The court order never mentioned anything about the Auctioneer accompanying Wife and her counsel during this walk-through. This walk-through per court order, was supposed to be completed much earlier, with a subsequent list of items which Wife wants to keep (determined during the walk-through, which was the purpose of the walk-through, as well as for Wife to ensure the condition of the property). Wife's counsel sent a list prior to the walk-through in an attempt to comply with the court order, but completely failing to meet the 10-day deadline for the walk-through, plus to provide to husband the subsequent list. Nobody informed Husband about the accompaniment of the Auctioneer, or any other parties beyond Wife and her counsel. Any other arrangements were at the fault, and outside the control, of Husband. To this day (8/27) Husband has been told that Wife is still compiling a more comprehensive list of personal property, which she established during the walk-through, yet even

though it was legally due by court order on August 11th, Husband has still never received any such document since the inspection.

b Husband made every attempt to communicate quickly and accurately with Wife's counsel to help schedule this, even going so far as copying her directly in Husband's emails to his counsel to ensure that his messages were getting relayed to Wife's counsel in the quickest possible fashion (for which Husband was reprimanded both by his counsel and wife's), but Wife's counsel still totally dropped the ball on this, while again aggressively blaming Husband and filing a complaint with the court.

i This is simply another example of litigious "bullying", which is completely inappropriate, harassing, abusive, unacceptable, and illegal.

ii Husband respectfully requests that the court order Wife and her counsel, to be less litigious, to work cooperatively with Husband toward solutions benefitting both parties, rather than filing inaccurate, twisted, false, and condescending motions, to hijack, oppress, and injure Husband, both in respect to the financial injury which he has suffered to date, of nearly $13k in legal fees, without even beginning his divorce, as well as injuring husband's physical and emotional, needing to figure out how to reply to these often fraudulent motions, under the threat of incarceration should he fail. Meanwhile, if Wife and her

counsel really want to sell the marital residence, then Husband both needs and deserves enough time to pack his possessions (all 3,000 SqFt of them) and move to Michigan. This will take an absolute minimum of two weeks to simply be ready to vacate the property, which Husband wants to do prior to the auction.

1 As such, Husband requests an extension for the sale date, as well as for the dates for Wife to remove her personal property, so that Husband will not again be forced to vacate his residence, when he needs every available moment for packing.

2 Husband requests that the court order a two-month moratorium on any deadlines and court filings on this docket, including all motions, petitions, etc… with the only exception being if Husband fails to vacate the property by September 15th.

3 This time is needed with Husband's handicaps, so that he can focus on his move, and have any chance at completely evacuating the property by September 15th. (Provided the court approves.)

4 This would allow the minimum time required both to pack and prepare to move, while allowing husband to break-down his office and complete the move to

Michigan, without fear of concurrent litigation being filed, forcing Husband to redirect all energies to meet the emergency legal demands.

5 The move will require Husband to obtain significant storage space, and will require a lot of physical assistance, for weeks, to just begin to get settled, while moving into Husband's mother's small basement in Michigan.

6 After which Husband will need to assemble office furniture, and rebuild his network, get his server up and running, etc… during which time Husband will have no access to any of his files and records related to this divorce.

7 Consequentially, until this move is completed, Husband will be physically incapable of responding to court filings without Wife first winning default judgments, which is absolutely unfair.

8 Should the court not find this two-week auction deadline extension agreeable, or the two-month moratorium on all court filings so that Husband will have the time needed to move, then Husband will be forced to remain in Tennessee throughout the Auction,

Case 3:24-cv-01282 Document 18-7 Filed 01/19/24 Page 46 of 65 PageID #: 2570
https://rico.jefffenton.com/evidence/2019-08-29_husbands-one-and-done-answer-to-all.pdf Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK (FENTON v. STORY et al.)

and require additional financial assistance to do so, now that Husband's tenants have been evicted by the court.

a As per court order, there are no more rents coming in, plus Husband was forced to return tenant deposits, which he had used to merely survive, Husband is now behind on all the utilities for the property, and requires some emergency financial assistance from Wife, immediately, if the court will allow. Otherwise Husband will be forced to turn-off all utilities upon vacating the property, to not run up more debts in Husband's name than necessary.

b The utilities run approximately $400 per month, plus with the loss of $1,400 in rental income, which just barely allowed Husband enough money for food, gas, meds, and to pay the utilities, Husband requests some immediate emergency financial relief from Wife, in the amount of $1,000 now, to bring the utilities current and to provide Husband with enough money to purchase food and his basic essentials, from now until September 15th, when Husband vacates the property to head to Michigan.

c Husband is temporarily borrowing the money for the move from his mother, expecting that cost to be around $3,000, plus the cost of monthly storage. Husband requests the court to reimburse this expense to Husband's mother, immediately upon the sale of the home, from the Husband's portion of the remaining equity.

d Husband also requests the court to order Wife to transfer all the utilities back into her name immediately, or if the court and the Wife prefer, to order Wife to pay Husband an additional $500 prior to 9/5/2019. to leave the utilities on in the Husband's name, through the auction, up until closing, provided that all takes place within our current timelines.

e After the move, Husband respectfully requests that the court order Wife to begin paying Husband $500 per month again, adjusting her bankruptcy plan as needed, as temporary support, to help cover the cost of Husband's food, so not to further burden Husband's mother financially.

f Of these monies listed above, Husband respectfully requests that only the costs of moving and storage, be deducted from Husband's share of the sale proceeds, as the rest is believed by Husband to be the minimum due Husband from Wife, under Tennessee law, to help partially support Husband, until a full and final divorce decree can be reached, along with hopefully a corresponding alimony agreement, which Husband sincerely hopes the court will grant him, so that Husband will have an opportunity to obtain vocational rehabilitation and one day become financially independent again.

9 Should the court be agreeable to extend the deadline of the auction for two-weeks, and to the two-month moratorium on all court filings, provided that Husband vacate the property by September 15th as proposed, then Husband requests that the court all the Wife to handle all communications and interactions with the Auctioneer, after September 15th, once Husband has vacated the property.

Case 3:24-cv-01282 Document 18-7 Filed 01/19/24 Page 49 of 65 PageID #: 2573
https://rico.jefffenton.com/evidence/2019-08-29_husbands-one-and-done-answer-to-all.pdf Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK (FENTON v. STORY et al.)

10 In such event, not out of any disrespect for the court, obstinance, or belligerence on the part of Husband, nor due to any resentment toward the court, Wife, or this process, Husband respectfully requests that by court order, (not a POA or Quit Claim Deed, which Husband must sign), the court executively provide the Wife with the authority to completely sell the property, without the need for any signatures or participation by Husband.

a To frankly explain the reason this is so important to Husband, again, it is out of no act of disrespect, rebellion or defiance, it is simply a matter of beliefs. Husband believes that by providing his signature, that he is approving of the transaction which he is signing for.

b While Husband believes that he is being robbed of his home, and any opportunity to take over payments and try to keep it, by Wife's fraudulent default on the mortgages performed months ago by Wife, without providing Husband with any notice, while refusing to even reply to Husbands questions on the matter.

c Husband does not blame court for this, but Husband absolutely feels as though he is being robbed of most of what he has worked for in his life. Regardless of the auction sales price, or the amount of final alimony Wife is ordered to pay Husband (should alimony be awarded), Husband will never, in his lifetime, have the opportunity to enjoy this standard of living again. With all the unique characteristics which this property naturally possesses, as well as those which Husband spent nearly a decade building and constructing on the property, for the family's home forever. Husband recognizes this as a once in a lifetime chance for both the Husband and Wife, which now they have foolishly forfeited.

d Husband tried with every ounce of his being to prevent Wife from forcing this outcome, but with the mortgages in Wife's name, Husband ultimately was powerless over monitoring their status. At the same time, without some serious training, followed by a full-time job, and a few years of advancement, there is no way that Husband could have proactively paid the

mortgages, just "in case" wife wasn't continuing to pay those bills, as she had been. Without absolutely any notice to Husband that her financial situation had changed, even if legitimate, which Husband highly doubts. Regardless, with timely notice from Wife to Husband, that their jointly owned asset was at risk, Husband could have worked towards finding a solution to help cure that financial shortfall, prior to reaching the point of default.

e Yet Wife stole that opportunity from Husband, and as such, suffering a loss of a lifetime, without so much as a hint in advance, Husband wishes to play no part in the final moves of Wife's schemes, to abandon and financially undermine Husband, costing Husband the largest loss of his lifetime. [redacted]

Case 3:24-cv-01282 Document 18-7 Filed 01/19/24 Page 52 of 65 PageID #: 2576
https://rico.jefffenton.com/evidence/2019-08-29_husbands-one-and-done-answer-to-all.pdf Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK (FENTON v. STORY et al.)

[redacted]

[redacted]

4. Per court order, both the Wife's walkthrough and thereafter her list of personal property which she requested from the marital residence, were supposed to both be completed within 10 days of the August 1st hearing date. That means that per the order of the court, the deadline for both of those tasks to be completed was on 8/11/2019. Ms. Story never even contacted Husband's counsel to begin scheduling the walk-through until 8/12/2019, already missing the deadline, requesting the walkthrough on the on the 13th or 14th, days later after the court ordered deadline. Yet Wife's counsel still finds it necessary and appropriate to legally blame, bash, and harass me with her litigious accusations, twisting information to make me sound as if I'm the party who failed to adhere to the timelines ordered by the court. Again, Husband respectfully requests that the court take action to discipline Ms. Story, to correct her actions, and change her future narratives to much less frequent and less hostile, and to work on improving her accuracy some, while reducing her slander of Husband's name and his character, both which Husband finds highly offensive, and which is harmful to Husband's mental and emotional health.

a Husband respectfully asks the court to please not allow Wife back on or inside the marital property, unless the court should choose to first terminate the Order of Protection Ex Parte, obtained by Wife's completely fraudulent testimony, so not to interfere with Husband's packing, by forcing Husband to vacate his home again, prior to either his move by September 15th, if approved by the court, or until after

the auction is finalized and the court provides Husband with the funds from the sales proceeds, necessary for Husband to move and obtain lodging here locally

5. Husband respectfully requests that he be awarded all his attorney's fees hence far, totaling around $13k, most of which Husband borrowed from his elderly mother, as Husband has not even reached responding to the divorce complaint yet, but all $13k in legal costs have been exhausted simply to protect Husband from the harassing, abusive, false, and fraudulent claims of both Wife and her counsel. [redacted]

6. To date, absolutely no delays of process have been due to the fault of the Husband, despite the deceitful claims of Wife and her counsel. Husband's first counsel failed to perform, though Husband was promised a draft to his Answer & Counter Complaint, which Husband has still never seen to this day. Absolutely no documents were filed, except for an extension to the temporary OP, so that Husband could gather a shocking amount of evidence, to hopefully dissolve the matter, but the continued failures to perform by Ms. Gates, forced Husband to borrow another $5k from his mother to hire Husband's second set of counsel, with only two work days remaining to respond to both the fraudulent OP claims, as well as the order to sell my home. The two largest decisions in my life to date, with only two days to respond, while Ms. Story absolutely refused to agree to an extension for my incoming counsel, in either of the monumental and immediate matters. As such I see not why she is carrying-on about any delays or failure to perform on my part, except again to assassinate my character and to litigiously harass and abuse me.

a I respectfully request that the court order Ms. Story to attend a legal ethics class, to encourage her to be more honest, sincere, and kind in her legal motions. Such abuse by legal process is absolutely barbaric and intolerable.

b Husband prays that the court will defend him in regard to Ms. Story's abusive treatment, and/or that she be replaced by another member of her firm in this matter, having failed to act as her office should require.

c Husband has no objection to waiving the Mediation, as Wife has made it clear to Husband that she is in no way wanting to participate in any collaboration, mediation, or any other fair, neutral third-party assisted solution, or we would be divorced by now. Wife is only interested in a judgment, and refuses to settle by any other means, despite having filed bankruptcy, and the dire financial condition of both parties.

i For the purpose or again correcting the narrative of Ms. Story's verbal attacks by legal process, Husband wants to clarify that Wife's desire to skip mediation has nothing to do with her fear of Husband, especially for the ridiculous benefit of being mindful of the safety of everyone else involved in the process. I've never been more falsely harassed by anyone, and again, I appeal to the court to please intervene.

ii The real reason why Wife has refused every attempt to sit down at the same table with Husband and work towards a fair solution, has absolutely nothing to do with Husband's words,

the intensity of his presence, or any pressure which Husband could emotionally inflict upon Wife.

iii The reason is because Husband is the one person in the entire World, which Wife really struggles looking in the eyes and lying to, about her fraudulent, victimized narrative, since Husband was THERE with Wife, and remembers vividly what really happened and what did not. In contrast, most other people take Wife at face value, seeing her obviously distraught, disheveled, and injured impressions, not realizing that the majority of what they are being told, is either a really twisted version of the truth, or an absolute lie. While the signs of abuse which she portrays, some of which are real, but are self-inflicted, and never ceasing, by Wife's relentless desire to discard Husband without a penny of alimony, vocational rehabilitation, a roof over his head, or food for his belly. Wife is absolutely destroying herself, fighting to be what she calls "free" or "independent", unwilling to recognize or accept any financial obligation, responsibility, or reparations for the impact which she has had upon the Husband's life, as it lies all in ruins now, and in two months another family will be living in the home which Husband invested the proceeds of his entire life, both financially, and in labor.

7. Husband can't apply for any insurance, until Husband has either obtained vocational rehabilitation and subsequently found gainful employment, or until Wife starts paying adequate alimony to pay for said insurance, as well as meeting some of Husband's other real financial needs, such as purchasing food, paying for meds counseling, etc… Should the court be willing to order such support for Husband, then providing the sum is adequate, Husband will be happy to apply for such independent health insurance.

8. The reality is, that contrary to Wife, Husband can definitively prove each and every word written in this response and counter motion. Husband has put forth an absolutely exhaustive effort to provide the court with some of the information which Husband feels may be pertinent to helping the court discern whether Husband or Wife is presenting the truth to the court. At the same time, this is probably 1/100th of the documentation which Husband possesses in support of his claims. [redacted]

As we are both completely broke, as recovery is realistically not even plausible for Husband, though his financial independence hopefully is, with some structured support and vocational training, leading to a technical certification or license in a progressive field, with vocational opportunities in the area which Husband resides. Continuing with this matter in the court is harmful to all parties, despite Wife's inability to stop injuring herself, and consequentially Husband, since Husband will never be able to focus, with his

handicaps (ADHD, OCPD, GAD, Sleep Disorder) as long as Husband's life is on trial, and due to Husband's financial shortcomings, and Wife's refusal to pay, Husband shall have no choice moving forward except to represent himself.

So at the end of the day, we can either continue as we have here today, for likely the next three years, forcing Husband to put recovery, rehabilitation, and all progress to rebuild his life on hold, until Husband no longer needs to "play lawyer", so that he can focus upon rebuilding some semblance of his life. Husband has serious concerns, about the proceeds from the sale being parked with the court for very long, since Wife's abusive and litigious counsel works right across the street from the courthouse, while Husband will not be in state or able to adequately defend himself.

Furthermore, Husband is absolutely terrified to drive over the Cincinnati bridge, as wife can well testify. Husband has not driven over that bridge in a decade, and the last time Husband had a serious panic attack, and nearly passed out while driving a U-Haul with Wife.

So Husband's only options are either to take enough Xanax that he can probably drive over the bridge safely, to then need to shortly thereafter find a place to park and sleep it off, or to have someone else who can drive Husband over the bridge, of which Husband knows of no volunteers (mother is now too old for that drive). Therefore, due to these exceptionally complex and harmful consequences for both parties, to continue in court any longer, Husband asks that the court make an exceptional modification to protocol, and provide to Husband and Wife a full and final divorce, here today, upon the grounds of

irreconcilable differences, and determine as fair of a financial settlement between the parties as the court is realistically equipped with the information it has, including the exhibits provided herein. Should the court be willing to grant us a final divorce today, but require more time to review the abundance of documentation provided, which is honestly completely unbiased, and to issue said settlement in the near future, without requiring another court appearance, Husband would be very welcoming to such an outcome as well.

Despite Husband's real need for support in this matter, Husband needs even more to never need to drive back over the Cincinnati bridge, to continue this violent process against each other and our own persons. For reason of mental and physical health, I beg the court to end this once and for all today, to save us all the next three years of our lives, wrestling over breadcrumbs. Husband's requests for a settlement approximately half of what the parties previously discussed, planned, and verbally agreed upon, is outlined below, which Husband respectfully asks the court to consider granting. Should the court decide to grant less to Husband, then Husband shall find no need to object or file further motions, Husband shall gratefully accept whatever the court finds fair. Husband does request that the court not decide upon any judgment which can be modified, altered, or which leaves the door open for future litigation, by either party. Else Husband fears that this case shall perpetually carry on forever, costing both parties more than either party can financially, emotionally, and mentally afford.

Although settlements are typically reached between opposing counsel; due to the abusive manner in which Ms. Story has presented herself throughout her filings in this case,

Husband respectfully requests that the court make an exception here to help protect Husband from needing to sit with Ms. Story and endure her condescending narrative and tone. Instead Husband simply requests that the court make a full and final determination, without any further negotiations or litigation between the parties.

Therefore, should the court not find Husband's request to be in the best interest of both parties, as well as of that of the court, Husband is reasonably certain that he'll never realistically see or benefit from any of the proceeds from the sale of his home. As Wife's counsel and other demands nibble away at it, beyond the practical reach of Husband. Whereby losing his retirement savings, and everything which Husband has earned during his lifetime, which would be one final travesty to end this absolutely toxic divorce.

Yet whatever it must be, so be it. Husband just asks the court for fair and reasonable consideration, and to end this nightmare once and for all. So, Husband can focus on what lies ahead, returning from where he once came, but has been fortunate not to need to leave since reaching adulthood.

Should the court have any questions or need any information, please feel free to ask. Husband can be emailed directly at [redacted] A mountain of documentation pertaining to the marriage is available upon your request.

Thank you for accepting this late filing, and earnestly considering the plethora of complex findings contained herein.

WHEREFORE, Husband would respectfully request that:

Case 3:24-cv-01282 Document 18-7 Filed 01/19/24 Page 61 of 65 PageID #: 2585
https://rico.jeffferton.com/evidence/2019-08-29_husbands-one-and-done-answer-to-all.pdf Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK (FENTON v. STORY et al.)

1. The court order a full and final divorce to Husband and Wife, on the grounds of Irreconcilable Differences. ~~The Order of Protection be made permanent and that Husband be required to pay her attorney's fees for having to bring this Motion.~~

2. That the Temporary Order of Protection be terminated. Husband is willing to sign a "Hold Harmless" with Wife, which can be styled to include both of our families, our employers, etc… so to protect both parties from either defaming them or publishing anything online about them, for the rest of our lives. Not requiring yearly renewal as with an Order of Protection, and also not injuring Husband's vocational potential, like an Order of Protection.

3. If for any reason the court is not willing to terminate the Temporary Order of Protection, Husband respectfully requests that it only apply to Davidson and Williamson Counties, and that it not be converted into a full order, so not to affect Husbands employment potential. (Husband's firearms are located in a friend's gun vault in Goodlettsville. Husband needs to be able to legally pick them up in the U-Haul, while driving North toward Michigan, as Husband moves there for the foreseeable future.)

4. That the court divide any proceeds remaining from the sale of the home 50/50, while ordering both parties to continue assuming responsibility for the debts in their respective names. [redacted] Husband requests that his half of the split be paid directly to his mother, "Marsha A. Fenton" [redacted] to help setup a trust for the future needs of Husband, educationally or otherwise. (Provided there is enough money to justify doing so.)

5. That in addition to the 50/50 split requested above, that the court repay Husband's mother, "Marsha A. Fenton" [redacted] $10,000 directly out of Wife's

Case 3:24-cv-01282 Document 18-7 Filed 01/19/24 Page 62 of 65 PageID #: 2586
https://rico.jefffenton.com/evidence/2019-08-29_husbands-one-and-done-answer-to-all.pdf Case 1:23-cv-01097-PLM-RSK (FENTON v. STORY et al.)

share of the sale proceeds, prior to paying any other debts, obligations, or creditors, except for the two mortgages. This is to reimburse Husband's mother for the expense of defending Husband against these totally unnecessary litigious claims, without even addressing the divorce yet in a conventional manner. Wife had previously assured Husband that she was finished with her litigious assaults, yet Wife still elected to execute her largest, most unfair, brilliant, but absolutely devastating legal assault upon Husband to date, again, without a moments warning. Husband therefore request the court to order Wife to repay Marsha A. Fenton for her resulting losses.

6. That at least a sum of $21,000 be paid to Husband's mother, Marsha A. Fenton, to repay Husbands debts to her, prior to paying off any other debts, obligations or creditors, except as stated herein.

7. That the court payoff the outstanding balances to Husband's legal counsel $8,600 to Marty Duke and $2,579.39 to Schaffer Law Firm, directly out of Wife's share of the sale proceeds, immediately following the repayment of Husband's mother, prior to paying off any other debts, obligations or creditors, except as stated herein.

8. That the court award Husband transitional alimony, which the court automatically deducts from wife's paycheck, in the amount of $1,000 per month, for a period of four years, and wife be ordered to have her bankruptcy plan modified to compensate for this.

9. If there are any emergencies where Wife cannot legally pay alimony for any reason, that all missed payments be added onto the end of the four years, so that the overall benefit to Husband is not diminished in the end. (With the proposed alimony of $1,000 per

month for a period of 4 years, that would equal a total alimony to be paid by Wife to Husband, of $48,000.)

10. That after the full four year term of alimony is paid, by Wife to Husband, after having made up for any months missed throughout, that Wife should owe Husband, no more alimony or support of any kind, ever again, regardless of Husband's health, need or any other circumstances, conditions, or factors.

11. That Wife's employer keep Husband insured, as Mr. Ken Adkisson previously promised until the end of this year, or until Wife is no longer employed with that firm, whichever comes first.

12. That afterwards, Husband be responsible for his own insurance needs, without demand or oversight by this court.

13. That the court would order that neither the Wife, nor her counsel, can further litigate, sue, or harass husband, by means of legal actions or otherwise.

14. That the court order a "do not contact" on both parties for a period of one year, regarding the other.

15. That both parties execute mutual lifetime "hold harmless" agreements, to include protection both to and from their families, employers, and friends, in addition to themselves.

16. The court order the auction date to be extended by a period of three-weeks after the final litigation is entered/heard in this matter, or after a 2-month moratorium is ordered by the court, forbidding anymore legal filings, until Husband has had an opportunity to complete his move to Michigan and get settled. During which time Husband

is not to be disturbed by any of the parties in this matter, so that he can focus on packing and realistically have a chance to complete it, on such a short deadline.

17. An Order be entered allowing Wife to sign any necessary listing contracts or agreements to sell the home including closing documents on behalf of she and Husband.

Tenn. Code § 39-16-507(a)(3) Coercion or Persuasion of Witness:

(a) A person commits an offense who, by means of coercion, influences or attempts to influence a witness or prospective witness in an official proceeding with intent to influence the witness to:

(3) ...be absent from an official proceeding to which the witness has been legally summoned.

(b) A violation of this section is a Class D felony.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey Ryan Fenton (Pro Se)
1986 Sunnyside Drive
Brentwood, TN 37027
jeff.fenton@live.com
(615) 837-1300

This Motion is expected to be heard on the 29th day of August, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. If no written Response to this Motion is filed and served in a time set by Local Rules of Practice, the Motion may be granted without a hearing.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing was forwarded via email, hand-delivered, and/or first-class mail to Virginia Lee Story, Attorney for Wife, at 136 4th Avenue South, Franklin, TN 37064, on this the _29_ day of August, 2019.

Tenn. R. Sup. Ct. 3.4 - Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel: A lawyer shall not:

(g) request or assist any person to take action that will render the person unavailable to appear as a witness by way of deposition or at trial;

Jeffrey Ryan Fenton (Pro Se)

The COA refused to consider this, instead they demanded that I write an "appellant brief" before they would consider anything further, or relief of any kind. I told the COA that I was unable (didn't know how) to write an "appellant brief" due to the overwhelming amount of fraud which took place by Story and Binkley. I provided sworn testimony to the COA that Binkley was bias, refused to hear me, and collusive with Story, making everything in #48419B VOID. I also provided sworn testimony to the COA that Story was excessively abusive, violated the rules of conduct almost non-stop, and that Binkley and Story cast harsh, punitive, "default" judgments against me, after they had wrongfully evicted me from my home and driven me out of the State of Tennessee. By the illegal actions of Binkley and Story I was prohibited from physically being present in Tennessee to participate in court in person. Knowing that they had told me during court on 8/29/2019, that I could participate in the next hearing over the telephone, but once I was over the state line, they reneged on everything they said during court on 8/29/2019, which could benefit me. Per 455(a) both Binkley & Story were "disqualified" repeatedly, by their criminal misconduct. Chancery Court lost all lawful jurisdiction & authority.